മി. സ്പീക്കർ: മെമ്പർക്ക് കിറുക്കുണ്ടെന്നുള്ള അഭിപ്രായം സഭയ്ക്കുണ്ടെങ്കിൽ ഈ മെമ്പറുടെ അഭിപ്രായം സംഗതമല്ല.

ശ്രീ. കെ. കൊച്ചുകുട്ടൻ: സർ, 'വഞ്ചിഭൂമി'യിലുണ്ടായിരുന്ന ഈ ലേഖനം ഭാരതി എന്ന മറ്റൊരു പത്രത്തിലും പകർത്തി എഴുതിയിട്ടുള്ളതായി കണ്ടു.

മി. സ്പീക്കർ: എന്നത്തെ ഭാരതിയിലാണ്?

ശ്രീ. കെ. കൊച്ചുകുട്ടൻ: ഇന്നലത്തെ ഭാരതിയിലാണ് കണ്ടത്.

മി. സ്പീക്കർ: അക്കാര്യവും ഇതോടൊന്നിച്ച് പര്യാലോചിക്കാവുന്നതാണ്.

Sri. D. Gnanasigamoni: On a point of information, Sir. May I know what the procedure in regard to these matters is? So far as the Parliamentary procdure is concerned, at the beginning of every session a Privileges Committee is appointed and whenever a matter of this kind is raised it is referred to the Privileges Committee and the House will defer consideration on that till the report of the Committee is received. So far as I am aware, no such Privileges Committee has been appointed here.

Mr. Speaker: This matter was once raised and a reply was given to the same question. There is a Privileges Committee and it is still functioning. There is already a matter referred to that Committee. The Committee yesterday deliberated on that matter and has come to a decision. Whatever may be the technicalities in regard to the continuance of the Committee or otherwise there is at present a Committee to which these matters are being referred. The report of that committee will be placed before the House, for final decision.

Even according to the procedure in the Parliament, if the Speaker thinks that there is a prima facie case, then only the matter need be referred to the Privileges Committee; otherwise not.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTION

AYURVEDA COLLEGE STRIKE

***11. Sri. N. Daniel Jose:** Will the Hon'ble Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether the Government is aware that the Ayurveda College students are boycotting their examination;

(*b*) whether the Government has received any petition from the students stating their demands; and

(*c*) if so, what are the demands contained therein?

Minister for Finance and Revenue **[Sri. A. J. John]**

(*a*) Yes; the students of the Ayurveda College have abstained from sitting for the examinations.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) The demands are:

(1) to persuade the University and to sanction the funds required for instituting a Faculty of Ayurveda urgently so as to begin the degree course in Ayurveda from the next collegiate year;

(2) to confer degrees on those who will pass the professional course in future as well as on those who have already passed;

(3) to place Ayurveda on a par with Allopathy and to sanction additional funds required for the purpose;

(4) to give adequate representation for Ayurveda in the Medical Council according to the number or practioners in each system of medicine; and

(5) to allow part system and September examinations for the public examinations now conducted; to stop the practice of detaining the third year course students for whom there is no public examination; to make provision for prosecuting studies in each year beginning from first year course, which are to be abolished year by year; and to take steps for giving the students efficient practical training in the Ayurveda Hospital and Pharmacy.

Sri. N. Daniel Jose: May I know whether the Government will take steps to implement some of these suggestions?

Sri. A. J. John: Government have already taken steps to implement some of these proposals.

ശ്രീ. ഇ. കെ. മാധവൻ: ഡിഗ്രി കൊടുക്കേണ്ടതു് യൂണിവേഴ്സിറ്റിയാണോ ഗവ ൺമെന്റാണോ?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

ശ്രീ. എ. ജെ. ജാൺ: യൂണിവേഴ്സിറ്റിയാണ്.

ശ്രീ. ഇ. കെ. മാധവൻ: യൂനിവേഴ്സിറ്റി കെട്ടേക്കണ്ട കായ്ത്തിനു് സവർമ്മെണ്ടിനോടു് അപേക്ഷിച്ചുകൊണ്ടു് വല്ല പ്രയോജനവുമുണ്ടോ ?

ശ്രീ. എ. ജെ. ജാൺ: യാതൊരു പ്രയോജനവുമില്ല. അതു് യൂണിവേഴ്സിറ്റി മാത്രം തീരുമാനിക്കേണ്ട കായ്മാണ്.

LEGISLATIVE BUSINESS

Agricultural Income Tax Bill—(*cont.*)

Mr. Speaker: When the House rose on the last day, I believe the Hon'ble Minister was replying to the amendment moved by Sri. G. P. Nilakanta Pillai.

Sri. A. J. John: I am prepared to accept the amendment with this modification: "it consists of at least five members".

Sri. Ittiera Ambookan: Though I am not against this amendment being accepted, I wish to point out that when the very same amendment was moved by Mr. K. M. Chandy, the Hon'ble Minister opposed the amendment. Now it seems that the very same amendment moved by Mr. G. P. Nilakanta Pillai is being accepted.

Mr. Speaker: The amendment moved by Mr. Chandy was different.

Sri. Ittiera Ambookan: Sir, the amendment moved by Mr. K. M. Chandy—Amendment No. 36 in the list reads thus:

"In schedule Part I proviso, in the second paragraph, delete the words 'Rs. 6,000' and instead add 'Rs. 7,200' and delete the words 'entitled to a share on partition'."

In principle it is more or less the same.

Mr. Speaker: What he moved was to delete the words 'entitled to a share on partition'. The last portion only was moved by Mr. Chandy.

Sri. Ittiera Ambookan: Sir, May I draw the attention of the Chair to Standing Orders, clause 21. Clause 21 says: "A motion must not raise a question substantially identical with one on which the Assembly has given a decision in the same session". This is substantially identical. These two motions are not only identical, but in principle the same.

Mr. Speaker: They are not substantially identical. Moreover this amendment was allowed to be moved and was being considered by the House.

The Minister says he is agreeable to the acceptance of the amendment with some slight modification.

Sri. K. M. Chandy: Sir, the amendment moved by Mr. G. P. Nilakanta Pillai is to substitute the words 'it consists of five members' for the words 'it has at lease five members entitled to a share on partition'. The Hon'ble Minister says that he is agreeable to the acceptance of the amendment with some slight modification, i.e., 'it consists of at least five members'. It means that this is practically the same as that was moved by me. When the section is re-written with the amendment now moved by Mr. G. P. Nilakanta Pillai as modified by the Minister, it is just like the section as amended by my amendment. Therefore it is the same.

Sri. A. J. John: The amendment of Mr. Chandy—Amendment No. 36 in the list—reads thus: "Delete the words 'entitled to a share on partition'."

Sri. K. M. Chandy: I did not move the first portion; I moved only the second portion, with the permission of the Chair.

Mr. Speaker: I allowed the request made by Mr. Chandy that the amendment to delete the last few words alone need be moved. The past history of yesterday's motion need not be gone into in such great detail. The only point relevant for our present purposes is to see whether Mr. Chandy's motion moved and disposed of yesterday and Mr. Nilakanta Pillai's motion moved and pending disposal today are substantially identical. I find they are not. Mr. Nilakanta Pillai's motion can be considered and disposed of.

Sri. K. M. Chandy: My amendment implies the suggestion now made by the Hon'ble Minister.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly